

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11
12 ANDREA RESNICK, GARY BUNKER,
13 JOHN HALEY, AMY LATHAM, ERIC
14 ROSLANSKY and KEVIN SIMPSON, on
behalf of themselves and all others
similarly situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 WALMART.COM USA LLC, WAL-
18 MART STORES, INC., and NETFLIX,
INC.,

19 Defendants.

20 Case No. C 09-0002 PJH

21 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER
CASE NO. C 09-0402 JL SHOULD BE
RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L. R. 3-12

22 On February 4, 2009, Plaintiff in *Lacabe v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, Case
23 No. 09-CV-0402 JL, filed an Administrative Motion To Consider Whether Case No. 09-0402 JL
24 Should Be Related Pursuant to Civil L. R. 3-12. The Court, having considered the papers and
25 pleadings on file, and good cause appearing, HEREBY GRANTS the motion.

26 IT IS ORDERED that *Lacabe v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-
27 0402, is hereby related to *Resnick v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-0002 PJH.

1 *Lacabe v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-0402, shall be
2 reassigned to the undersigned Judge pursuant to Civil L. R. 3-12(f).

3 Date: _____, 2009

4 Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
5 United States District Court Judge

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28